

UTMS 2005–47

December 12, 2005

**Estimation of coefficients
in a hyperbolic equation
with impulsive inputs**

by

Li SHUMIN



UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KOMABA, TOKYO, JAPAN

Estimation of coefficients in a hyperbolic equation with impulsive inputs

Shumin Li

Graduate school of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo,
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153, Japan

E-mail: lism@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Abstract

For the solution to $\partial_t^2 u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + q(x)u(x, t) = \delta(x_1)\delta'(t)$ and $u|_{t<0} = 0$, we consider an inverse problem of determining $q(x)$, $x \in \Omega$ from data $f = u|_{S_T}$ and $g = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{S_T}$. Here $\Omega \subset \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x_1 > 0\}$, $n \geq 2$, is a bounded domain, $S_T = \{(x, t); x \in \partial\Omega, x_1 < t < T + x_1\}$ and $T > 0$. For suitable $T > 0$, we prove an $L^2(\Omega)$ -size estimation of q :

$$\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|f\|_{H^1(S_T)} + \|g\|_{L^2(S_T)} \right\},$$

provided that q satisfies a priori uniform boundedness conditions. We use an inequality of Carleman type in our proof.

1 Introduction and main results

We consider an inverse problem of determining a coefficient in a hyperbolic equation by an impulsive source located outside the domain where a coefficient is unknown. Let $u(x, t)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $n \geq 2$ solve the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t^2 u(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) + q(x)u(x, t) = \delta(x_1)\delta'(t), \quad u|_{t<0} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where δ and δ' are the Dirac delta function and the t -derivative:

$$\langle \delta(x_1), \psi \rangle = \psi(0, x_2, \dots, x_n, t),$$

and

$$\langle \delta'(t), \psi \rangle = -\partial_t \psi(x, 0), \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}_+^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 > 0\}$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$ be a bounded domain with C^1 -piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Furthermore let $T > 0$ be suitably given. Set

$$G_T = \{(x, t); x \in \Omega, x_1 < t < T + x_1\}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Sigma_0 = \{(x, t); x \in \Omega, t = x_1 + 0\},$$

$$\Sigma_T = \{(x, t); x \in \Omega, t = T + x_1\},$$

$$S_T = \{(x, t); x \in \partial\Omega, x_1 < t < T + x_1\}.$$

We consider:

Inverse problem. Let Cauchy data of the solution u to (1.1) be given on S_T :

$$u(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = g(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in S_T, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\nu = \nu(x)$ is the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then determine $q(x)$, $x \in \Omega$ from given data (1.3).

In order to state the main result, we introduce the notations. Let $r = (\text{diam } \Omega)/2$. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \subseteq B(x^0, r) &= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x - x^0| < r\} \\ \text{where } x^0 &= (x_1^0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \text{ and } x_1^0 > r > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Set

$$K = K(x^0, T, r) = \{(x, t); |x_1| < t < (T + x_1^0 + 2r) - |x - x^0|\}.$$

Noting that $x_1 > 0$ and $T + x_1 \leq T + x_1^0 + r \leq (T + x_1^0 + 2r) - |x - x^0|$ for $x \in \Omega$, we see that $G_T \subseteq K$. Denote by

$$P = P(x^0, T, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x_1| < (T + x_1^0 + 2r) - |x - x^0|\}$$

the projection of K on the space \mathbb{R}^n . Throughout this paper, $H^1(S_T)$, $H^{n+2}(P)$, etc. denote usual Sobolev spaces (e.g. Adams [1]), and $[\alpha]$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding α . We set

$$\mathcal{U}_M = \{q \in H^{n+2}(P) \mid \|q\|_{H^{n+2}(P)} \leq M\} \quad (1.5)$$

for any fixed $M > 0$. Furthermore, we take a constant β such that

$$0 < \beta < 1 \text{ and } 0 < \beta (r\beta + x_1^0 + 2r)^2 < (x_1^0 - r)^2. \quad (1.6)$$

Now we state the main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that Ω satisfies (1.4). Let*

$$T > 2r + \frac{4(x_1^0 + 2r)}{\beta} \quad (1.7)$$

where β satisfies (1.6). Furthermore, let u be the solution to (1.1) with $q \in \mathcal{U}_M$ and let Cauchy data of u be given by (1.3). Then there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega, T, x^0, r, M) > 0$ such that

$$\|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|f\|_{H^1(S_T)} + \|g\|_{L^2(S_T)} \right\}. \quad (1.8)$$

In our inverse problem we assume that the initial values are identically zero and we are requested to determine a coefficient by a single measurement on the boundary.

If we can be allowed to repeat infinitely many measurements, then the Dirichlet to Neumann map can guarantee the uniqueness and the stability also with the zero initial condition (e.g., Sun [20]).

If we can assume the positivity condition $u(\cdot, 0) > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, then the method on the basis of a Carleman estimate which was discussed first in Bukhgeim and Klivanov [2], implies the uniqueness. As for the stability, see Imanuvilov and Yamamoto [5, 6], Khaïdarov [10], Yamamoto [21], and we refer also to Isakov [7, 8, 9], Klivanov [11], Klivanov and Timonov [12].

The above results by a Carleman estimate or the Dirichlet to Neumann map, hold without smallness assumptions of unknown coefficients or the spatial domain Ω under consideration.

On the other hand, the infinitely many repeat of the measurements are not realistic and the positivity of the initial displacement may be difficult to be realized in practise even though a single measurement can guarantee the uniqueness and the stability in the inverse problem.

In (1.1) we take impulsive inputs $\delta(x_1)\delta'(t)$ and the initial values can be zero. The impulsive input is acceptable from the practical viewpoint.

In the case where the spatial dimension is greater than 1, it is a hard open problem that in the inverse problem for (1.1), one can establish the uniqueness without any smallness conditions on the coefficients or Ω . In Romanov and Yamamoto [17], if $\|p\|_{H^{n+2}(P)}$ and $\|q\|_{H^{n+2}(P)}$ are sufficiently small, then with suitable T , we can prove the Lipschitz stability for $\|p - q\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ by means of the boundary data. As related results, see Glushkov [3], Glushkov and Romanov [4], Romanov [13, 14, 15, 16], Romanov and Yamamoto [17, 18, 19].

To the above long standing open problem, Theorem 1.1 is a partial answer : we can estimate the difference between a not necessarily small q and $p(x) \equiv 0$ by means of the boundary data. We note that in Romanov and Yamamoto [17], one has the estimate between two sufficiently small coefficients p and q . We can interpret Theorem 1.1 as $L^2(\Omega)$ -size estimation of the coefficient by means of boundary output.

Our proof is inspired by the argument in §4.1 in [16] and [17], but we will use an inequality of Carleman type.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

First we show a lemma, which is different from Lemma 4.1.4 in [16]. For $T > 0$, $x_1^0 > 0$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we define a function $\varphi = \varphi(x, t)$ by

$$\varphi(x, t) = \frac{1}{4}|x|^2 - \frac{1}{8}\beta \left(t - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \quad \nabla_x = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n),$$

$$\nabla_{x,t} = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, \partial_t), \quad \nabla_{x'} = (\partial_2, \dots, \partial_n), \quad \square y = \partial_t^2 y - \Delta y.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $v \in H^2(G_T)$. Assume (1.4), (1.6) and (1.7). Then there exists a constant $\vartheta > 0$ such that for $T \in (2r + 4(x_1^0 + 2r)/\beta, 2r + 4(x_1^0 + 2r)/\beta + \vartheta)$ there exist $s_0 > 0$ and $C_1 = C_1(s_0, T, x^0, r, \beta) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_T} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\ & + \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} \left[s (\partial_t v + \partial_1 v)^2 + s |\nabla_{x'} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} dx \\ & \leq C_1 \left\{ \int_{G_T} (\square v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{S_T} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} ds dt \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $s \geq s_0$.

We shall prove Lemma 2.1 in §3.

In [16, 17], the following proposition is proved.

Proposition 2.2 ([16] or [17]). *Let $q \in \mathcal{U}_M$. Then the solution to (1.1) can be represented in the form*

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \delta(t - |x_1|) + \widehat{u}(x, t) \theta_0(t - |x_1|) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\widehat{u} \in H^m(K)$, $m = \lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil + 1$, $\theta_0(t)$ is the Heaviside step function: $\theta_0(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 0$ and $\theta_0(t) = 0$ if $t < 0$. Moreover

$$\widehat{u}(x, |x_1| + 0) = -\frac{1}{4} (\text{sign } x_1) \int_0^{x_1} q(\xi, x') d\xi, \quad x \in P \quad (2.4)$$

with $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$, and there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(T, x^0, r, M) > 0$ such that

$$|\widehat{u}(x, t)| \leq C_2 M, \quad (x, t) \in K. \quad (2.5)$$

The constant C_2 is a non-decreasing function of Parameters T, r, M .

Remark 2.1 ([16] or [17]). The representation (2.3) means that the regular part of the solution $u(x, t)$ coincides with $\widehat{u}(x, t)$ for $(x, t) \in K$. Moreover $u \in H^1(S_T)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \in L^2(S_T)$ by the trace theorem (e. g., [1]), because $\partial\Omega$ is piecewise C^1 smooth and $u \in H^m(K)$ with $m \geq 2$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $T > 0$ satisfying (1.7), we set

$$\tilde{T} = \min \left\{ T, 2r + \frac{4(x_1^0 + 2r)}{\beta} + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

where ϑ is given by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, estimate (2.2) holds in $G_{\tilde{T}}$.

Let u be the solution to problem (1.1) with $q \in \mathcal{U}_M$ and f, g be the data in (1.3) for u . By (1.1), we have

$$\square u(x, t) + q(x)u(x, t) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in G_{\tilde{T}}. \quad (2.7)$$

By $q \in \mathcal{U}_M$ and the embedding theorem, we see that $q \in C^m(P)$ with $m = \left[\frac{n+1}{2} \right] + 1$ and there exists a constant $C_{*l} = C_{*l}(T, x^0, r) > 0$ such that

$$\|q\|_{C^l(P)} \leq C_{*l} \|q\|_{H^{n+2}(P)} \leq C_{*l} M, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.8)$$

By Proposition 2.2 and Remark 2.1, we have $u \in H^2(G_{\tilde{T}})$. It follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$(\square u(x, t))^2 \leq C_{*0}^2 M^2 u^2(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in G_{\tilde{T}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\
& + \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s (\partial_t u + \partial_1 u)^2 + s |\nabla_{x'} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} dx \\
& \leq C_1 \left(\int_{G_{\tilde{T}}} (\square u)^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{S_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} ds dt \right) \\
& \leq C_1 \left(C_{*0}^2 M^2 \int_{G_{\tilde{T}}} u^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \int_{S_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} ds dt \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

for all $s > s_0$, where φ is defined by (2.1). In the last inequality in (2.10), we have used (2.9).

By relation (2.4) in Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\partial_t u + \partial_1 u = -\frac{1}{4}q(x), \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0. \tag{2.11}$$

It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G_{\tilde{T}}} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + (s^3 - C_1 C_{*0}^2 M^2) u^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\
& + \frac{s}{16} \int_{\Omega} q^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, x_1)} dx \\
& \leq C_1 \int_{S_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} ds dt
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $s > s_0$. We can take $s_0 > 0$ sufficiently large such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G_{\tilde{T}}} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} s^3 u^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} dx dt + \frac{s}{16} \int_{\Omega} q^2 e^{2s\varphi(x, x_1)} dx \\
& \leq C_1 \int_{S_{\tilde{T}}} \left(s |\nabla_{x,t} u|^2 + s^3 u^2 \right) e^{2s\varphi} ds dt
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

for all $s > s_0$. We take $s > s_0$ and fix it. By (1.4) and (2.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{4}(x_1^0 - r)^2 - \frac{\beta}{8} \left(\frac{\tilde{T}}{2} + r \right)^2 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{1}{4}(x_1^0 + r)^2, \quad (x, t) \in G_{\tilde{T}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Therefore, by (1.3), (2.6), (2.13) and (2.14), we can obtain (1.8). We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

3 Proof of Lemma 2.1

First of all, we note that the following inequalities hold:

$$0 < x_1^0 - r \leq x_1 \leq x_1^0 + r, \quad 0 < |x|^2 \leq (r + x_1^0)^2, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\text{and} \quad -r - \frac{T}{2} \leq t - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \leq \frac{T}{2} + r, \quad (x, t) \in G_T. \quad (3.2)$$

In fact, the first inequality in (3.1) follows from (1.4). The second inequality in (3.1) can be proved as follows:

$$|x|^2 = |x - x^0|^2 + x_1^2 - (x_1 - x_1^0)^2 \leq r^2 + 2x_1x_1^0 - (x_1^0)^2 \leq r^2 + 2x_1^0(x_1^0 + r) - (x_1^0)^2.$$

(3.2) can be proved by (1.2) and (3.1).

By (1.6), there exists a constant ϑ such that

$$0 < \frac{1}{16}\beta^3 \left[4r + \frac{4(x_1^0 + 2r)}{\beta} + \vartheta \right]^2 < (x_1^0 - r)^2. \quad (3.3)$$

By (1.7), we can assume that $T \in (2r + 4(x_1^0 + 2r)/\beta, 2r + 4(x_1^0 + 2r)/\beta + \vartheta)$.

Then we have

$$4r + \frac{4(x_1^0 + 2r)}{\beta} < T + 2r < 4r + \frac{4(x_1^0 + 2r)}{\beta} + \vartheta. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$(x_1^0 - r)^2 > \frac{1}{16}\beta^3 (T + 2r)^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore we can take a constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$0 < 2\beta < \rho < \min \left\{ 2, \frac{64(x_1^0 - r)^2}{\beta^2 (T + 2r)^2} - 2\beta \right\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Furthermore, by (1.7), we can get

$$\frac{\beta^2}{16} \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right)^2 > \frac{1}{4} (2r + x_1^0)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta T}{4} - \frac{\beta r}{2} - x_1^0 > 2r. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $s > 0$, $w = e^{s\varphi}v$ and $Lw = e^{s\varphi}\square(e^{-s\varphi}w)$. Then we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Lw &= \left\{ \square w + s^2 \left[(\partial_t \varphi)^2 - |\nabla_x \varphi|^2 \right] w + \frac{1}{4} s \rho w \right\} \\ &\quad + s \left\{ [-\square \varphi - \frac{1}{4} \rho] w - 2(\partial_t \varphi)(\partial_t w) + 2(\nabla_x \varphi \cdot \nabla_x w) \right\} \\ &= (\square w + s^2 dw + \frac{1}{4} s \rho w) + s [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] \end{aligned}$$

where $a = 2\nabla_x\varphi = x$, $b = -2(\partial_t\varphi) = \beta(t - x_1^0 - T/2)/2$, $c = -\square\varphi - \rho/4 = \beta/4 + n/2 - \rho/4$ and $d = (\partial_t\varphi)^2 - |\nabla_x\varphi|^2 = \beta^2(t - x_1^0 - T/2)^2/16 - |x|^2/4$. We note that c is a constant. Furthermore, by $\rho < 2$, we have

$$c > \frac{\beta}{4} + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\beta}{4}. \quad (3.8)$$

Using the inequality: $(\alpha + \gamma)^2 \geq 2\alpha\gamma$, we have

$$(Lw)^2 \geq 2s \left(\square w + s^2 dw + \frac{1}{4}s\rho w \right) [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w]. \quad (3.9)$$

Noting that

$$a = x, \quad b = \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(t - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\beta}{4} + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\rho}{4}, \quad (3.10)$$

we can verify that

$$2(\square w)[cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] = \partial_t P + \nabla_x \cdot Q + R$$

where

$$P = b \left[(\partial_t w)^2 + |\nabla_x w|^2 \right] + 2(\partial_t w)(a \cdot \nabla_x w + cw), \quad (3.11)$$

$$Q = \left[|\nabla_x w|^2 - (\partial_t w)^2 \right] a - 2[a \cdot \nabla_x w + b(\partial_t w) + cw](\nabla_x w), \quad (3.12)$$

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2\beta)(\partial_t w)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(4 - \rho)|\nabla_x w|^2. \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int_{G_T} (\square w)[cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_T} (P - Q_1) dx + \int_{\Sigma_0} (Q_1 - P) dx + \int_{S_T} Q \cdot \nu d\sigma dt + \int_{G_T} R dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P - Q_1 &= (b + a_1)(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + (b - a_1)|\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \\ &\quad + 2(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)(a' \cdot \nabla_x w + cw), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $a' = (0, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Then by $x_1^0 > 0$ and the inequality: $|A \cdot B| \leq |A| |B|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P - Q_1 &\geq (b + a_1) (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + (b - a_1) |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \\ &\quad - 2x_1^0 (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 - \frac{1}{2x_1^0} (a' \cdot \nabla_x w)^2 + 2c (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w) w \\ &\geq (b + a_1 - 2x_1^0) (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + \left(b - a_1 - \frac{1}{2x_1^0} |a'|^2 \right) |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w) w. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b + a_1 - 2x_1^0 &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1 - x_1^0 + \frac{T}{2} \right) + x_1 - 2x_1^0 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right) + x_1^0 - r - 2x_1^0 = \frac{1}{4}\beta T - \frac{1}{2}\beta r - x_1^0 - r \\ &> r, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4), (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b - a_1 - \frac{1}{2x_1^0} |a'|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1 - x_1^0 + \frac{T}{2} \right) - x_1 - \frac{1}{2x_1^0} \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right) - x_1^0 - r - \frac{r^2}{2r} = \frac{1}{4}\beta T - \frac{1}{2}\beta r - x_1^0 - \frac{3}{2}r \\ &> \frac{r}{2}, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P - Q_1 \geq r (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + \frac{1}{2}r |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 + \partial_1 [cw^2|_{\Sigma_T}], \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T. \quad (3.16)$$

Similarly, by (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 - P &= (-b - a_1) (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + (a_1 - b) |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \\ &\quad - 2 (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w) (a' \cdot \nabla_x w + cw) \\ &\geq \left(-b - a_1 - \frac{r}{2} \right) (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + \left(a_1 - b - \frac{2}{r} |a'|^2 \right) |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \\ &\quad - 2c (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w) w. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -b - a_1 - \frac{r}{2} &= \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1^0 - x_1 + \frac{T}{2} \right) - x_1 - \frac{r}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right) - x_1^0 - r - \frac{r}{2} = \frac{1}{4}\beta T - \frac{1}{2}\beta r - x_1^0 - \frac{3}{2}r \\ &> \frac{r}{2}, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.4), (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 - b - \frac{2}{r} |a'|^2 &= x_1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1 - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right) - \frac{2}{r} \sum_{j=2}^n x_j^2 \\
&\geq x_1^0 - r - \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1^0 + r - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right) - \frac{2}{r} r^2 = \frac{1}{4}\beta T - \frac{1}{2}\beta r + x_1^0 - 3r \\
&\geq (2r + x_1^0) + x_1^0 - 3r = 2x_1^0 - r > r, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$Q_1 - P \geq \frac{1}{2}r (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + r |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 - \partial_1 [cw^2|_{\Sigma_0}], \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0. \quad (3.17)$$

By (3.12), we have

$$Q \cdot \nu = [|\nabla_x w|^2 - (\partial_t w)^2] (a \cdot \nu) - 2[a \cdot \nabla_x w + b(\partial_t w) + cw] [(\nabla_x w) \cdot \nu].$$

Then by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.10), we have

$$|Q \cdot \nu| \leq C_3 \left(|\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + w^2 \right), \quad (x, t) \in S_T. \quad (3.18)$$

Here and henceforth, $C_k (k = 3, 4, \dots)$ denote generic positive constants which may depend on $x_1^0, r, T, n, \beta, \rho, s_0$, and s_1 , but are independent of s . It follows from (3.14), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{G_T} (\square w) [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}r \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} \left[(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 \right] dx \\
&\quad - c \int_{\partial \Sigma_0 \cup \partial \Sigma_T} w^2 d\sigma - C_3 \int_{S_T} \left(|\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + w^2 \right) d\sigma dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_T} \left[(\rho - 2\beta) (\partial_t w)^2 + (4 - \rho) |\nabla_x w|^2 \right] dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial \Sigma_0$ and $\partial \Sigma_T$ denote the boundaries of Σ_0 and Σ_T , respectively, and $d\sigma$ is an area element of $\partial \Omega$. Furthermore, as (4.1.40) in [16], we can show that

$$\int_{\partial \Sigma_0 \cup \partial \Sigma_T} w^2 d\sigma \leq T \int_{S_T} \left(w_t^2 + \frac{3}{T^2} w^2 \right) d\sigma dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{G_T} (\square w) [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} r \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} [(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + |\nabla_{x'} w|^2] dx \\
& \quad - C_4 \int_{S_T} (|\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + w^2) d\sigma dt \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_T} [(\rho - 2\beta)(\partial_t w)^2 + (4 - \rho)|\nabla_x w|^2] dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Moreover, we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2dw [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] \\
& = \nabla_x \cdot (dw^2 a) + \partial_t (dbw^2) - w^2 [\nabla_x \cdot (da)] - w^2 \partial_t (bd) + 2dcw^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{G_T} dw [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\
& = \int_{\Sigma_T} dw^2 (b - a_1) dx + \int_{\Sigma_0} dw^2 (a_1 - b) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{S_T} dw^2 (a \cdot \nu) d\sigma dt + \int_{G_T} w^2 [2dc - \nabla_x \cdot (da) - \partial_t (bd)] dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

By (1.4), (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d & = \frac{1}{16} \beta^2 \left(x_1 - x_1^0 + \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} |x|^2 \geq \frac{1}{16} \beta^2 \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (r + x_1^0)^2 \\
& > \frac{1}{4} (2r + x_1^0)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (r + x_1^0)^2 = \frac{1}{4} (3r^2 + 2x_1^0 r) \geq \frac{5}{4} r^2, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$b - a_1 = \frac{1}{2} \beta \left(x_1 - x_1^0 + \frac{T}{2} \right) - x_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \beta \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right) - (x_1^0 + r) > r, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T. \tag{3.21}$$

Therefore,

$$dw^2 (b - a_1) \geq \frac{5}{4} r^3 w^2, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_T. \tag{3.22}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d & = \frac{1}{16} \beta^2 \left(x_1 - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} |x|^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{16} \beta^2 \left(\frac{T}{2} - r \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (r + x_1^0)^2 \geq \frac{5}{4} r^2, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 - b &= x_1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(x_1 - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right) \geq (x_1^0 - r) - \frac{1}{2}\beta \left(r - \frac{T}{2} \right) \\
&> (x_1^0 - r) + (2r + x_1^0) = 2x_1^0 + r \geq 3r, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Therefore,

$$dw^2 (a_1 - b) \geq \frac{15}{4}r^3 w^2, \quad (x, t) \in \Sigma_0. \tag{3.24}$$

Furthermore we can verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2dc - \nabla_x \cdot (da) - \partial_t (bd) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[|x|^2 - \frac{1}{16}\rho\beta^2 \left(t - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\rho|x|^2 - \frac{1}{8}\beta^3 \left(t - x_1^0 - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2dc - \nabla_x \cdot (da) - \partial_t (bd) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x_1^0 - r)^2 - \frac{1}{16}\beta^2 \left(\frac{T}{2} + r \right)^2 \left[\frac{64(x_1^0 - r)^2}{\beta^2(T + 2r)^2} - 2\beta \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4}\rho(x_1^0 - r)^2 - \frac{1}{8}\beta^3 \left(\frac{T}{2} + r \right)^2 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\rho(x_1^0 - r)^2, \quad (x, t) \in G_T.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

It follows from (3.20), (3.22), (3.24) and (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{G_T} dw [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\
&\geq \frac{5}{4}r^3 \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} w^2 dx + \frac{1}{8}\rho(x_1^0 - r)^2 \int_{G_T} w^2 dx dt - C_5 \int_{S_T} w^2 d\sigma dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Furthermore, by (3.10), (3.20), (3.21) and (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{G_T} w [cw + b(\partial_t w) + a \cdot \nabla_x w] dx dt \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_T} (b - a_1) w^2 dx + \int_{\Sigma_0} (a_1 - b) w^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{S_T} w^2 (a \cdot \nu) d\sigma dt + \int_{G_T} (2c - \nabla_x \cdot a - \partial_t b) w^2 dx dt \\
&\geq r \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} w^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\rho \int_{G_T} w^2 dx dt - C_6 \int_{S_T} w^2 d\sigma dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Hence, by (3.9), (3.19), (3.26) and (3.27), there exists $s_1 > 0$ such that, for all $s \geq s_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G_T} (\square v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} dxdt = \int_{G_T} (Lw)^2 dxdt \\
& \geq \min\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{5}{4}r^3\right) \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} \left[s(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + s|\nabla_{x'} w|^2 + s^3 w^2 \right] dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{G_T} \left[(\rho - 2\beta)s(\partial_t w)^2 + (4 - \rho)s|\nabla_x w|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{8}\rho(x_1^0 - r)^2 s^3 w^2 \right] dxdt - C_7 \int_{S_T} \left[s|\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + s^3 w^2 \right] d\sigma dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Furthermore, by (1.4) and (3.6), we see that

$$\min\left(\frac{r}{2}, \frac{5}{4}r^3\right) > 0, \quad \rho - 2\beta > 0, \quad 4 - \rho > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_1^0 - r)^2 > 0. \tag{3.29}$$

On the other hand, by $w = e^{s\varphi} v$, we have

$$\nabla_{x,t} w = s e^{s\varphi} v (\nabla_{x,t} \varphi) + e^{s\varphi} (\nabla_{x,t} v) = s (\nabla_{x,t} \varphi) w + e^{s\varphi} (\nabla_{x,t} v).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial_t v + \partial_1 v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} & \leq 2s^2 (\partial_t \varphi + \partial_1 \varphi)^2 w^2 + 2(\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2, \\
(\partial_t v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} & \leq 2s^2 (\partial_t \varphi)^2 w^2 + 2(\partial_t w)^2, \\
|\nabla_x v|^2 e^{2s\varphi} & \leq 2s^2 |\nabla_x \varphi|^2 w^2 + 2|\nabla_x w|^2, \\
|\nabla_{x'} v|^2 e^{2s\varphi} & \leq 2s^2 |\nabla_{x'} \varphi|^2 w^2 + 2|\nabla_{x'} w|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 \leq 2|\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 e^{2s\varphi} + 2s^2 |\nabla_{x,t} \varphi|^2 e^{2s\varphi} v^2.$$

Using (3.28), (3.29), and the above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{G_T} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \\
& + \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} \left[s (\partial_t v + \partial_1 v)^2 + s |\nabla_{x'} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} dx \\
& \leq C_8 \left\{ \int_{G_T} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + s^3 w^2 \right] dx dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_T} \left[s (\partial_t w + \partial_1 w)^2 + s |\nabla_{x'} w|^2 + s^3 w^2 \right] dx \right\} \\
& \leq C_9 \left\{ \int_{S_T} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} w|^2 + s^3 w^2 \right] d\sigma dt + \int_{G_T} (\square v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \right\} \\
& \leq C_{10} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \left[s |\nabla_{x,t} v|^2 + s^3 v^2 \right] e^{2s\varphi} d\sigma dt + \int_{G_T} (\square v)^2 e^{2s\varphi} dx dt \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] A. L. Bukhgeim and M.V. Klibanov, Global uniqueness of a class of multi-dimensional inverse problems. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* (1981) **24**, 244–247.
- [3] D. I. Glushkova, Stability estimates for the inverse problem of finding the absorption constant. *Differential Equations* (2001) **37**, 1261–1270.
- [4] D. I. Glushkova and V. G. Romanov, A stability estimate for a solution to the problem of determination of two coefficients of a hyperbolic equation. *Siberian Mathematical Journal* (2003) **44**, 250–259.
- [5] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Global uniqueness and stability in determining coefficients of wave equations. *Commun. in Partial Differential Equations* (2001) **26**, 1409–1425.
- [6] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, Determination of a coefficient in an acoustic equation with a single measurement. *Inverse Problems* (2003) **19**, 151–171.

- [7] V. Isakov, A nonhyperbolic Cauchy problem for $\square_b \square_c$ and its applications to elasticity theory. *Comm. Pure and Applied Math.* (1986) **39**, 747–767.
- [8] V. Isakov, *Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [9] V. Isakov, An inverse problem for the dynamical Lamé system with two sets of local boundary data. In: *Control Theory of Parital Differential Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2005, 101–110.
- [10] A. Khaïdarov, On stability estimates in multidimensional inverse problems for differential equations. *Soviet. Math. Dokl.* (1989) **38**, 614–617.
- [11] M. V. Klibanov, Inverse problems and Carleman estimates. *Inverse Problems* (1992) **8**, 575–596.
- [12] M. V. Klibanov and A. Timonov, *Carleman Estimates for Coefficient Inverse Problems and Numerical Applications*. VSP, Utrecht, 2004.
- [13] V. G. Romanov, *Inverse Problems of Mathematical Physics*. VSP, Utrecht, 1987.
- [14] V. G. Romanov, A stability estimate of a solution of the inverse problem of the sound speed determination. *Siberian Mathematical Journal* (1999) **40**, 1119–1133.
- [15] V. G. Romanov, A stability estimate for a problem of determination of coefficients under the first derivatives in the second type hyperbolic equation. *Doklady Mathematics* (2000) **62**, 459–461.
- [16] V. G. Romanov, *Investigation Methods for Inverse Problems*. VSP, Utrecht, 2002.

- [17] V. G. Romanov and M. Yamamoto, Multidimensional inverse problem with impulse input and a single boundary measurement. *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems* (1999) **7**, 573–588.
- [18] V. G. Romanov and M. Yamamoto, On the determination of wave speed and potential in a hyperbolic equation by two measurements. *Contemporary Mathematics* (2004) **348**, 1–10.
- [19] V. G. Romanov and M. Yamamoto, On the determination of the sound speed and a damping coefficient by two measurements. *Applicable Analysis* (2005) **84**, 1025–1039.
- [20] Z. Sun, On continuous dependence for an inverse initial boundary value problem for the wave equation. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* (1990) **150**, 188–204.
- [21] M. Yamamoto, Uniqueness and stability in multidimensional hyperbolic inverse problems. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (1999) **78**, 65–98.

UTMS

- 2005–37 Ken-ichi Yoshikawa: *Discriminant of certain K3 surfaces.*
- 2005–38 Noriaki Umeda: *Existence and nonexistence of global solutions of a weakly coupled system of reaction-diffusion equations.*
- 2005–39 Yuji Moriyama: *Homogeneous coherent value measures and their limits under multiperiod collective risk processes.*
- 2005–40 X. Q. Wan, Y. B. Wang, and M. Yamamoto: *The local property of the regularized solutions in numerical differentiation.*
- 2005–41 Yoshihiro Sawano: *l^q -valued extension of the fractional maximal operators for non-doubling measures via potential operators .*
- 2005–42 Yuuki Tadokoro: *The pointed harmonic volumes of hyperelliptic curves with Weierstrass base points.*
- 2005–43 X. Q. Wan, Y. B. Wang and M. Yamamoto: *Detection of irregular points by regularization in numerical differentiation and an application to edge detection.*
- 2005–44 Victor Isakov, Jenn-Nan Wang and Masahiro Yamamoto: *Uniqueness and stability of determining the residual stress by one measurement.*
- 2005–45 Yoshihiro Sawano and Hitoshi Tanaka: *The John-Nirenberg type inequality for non-doubling measures.*
- 2005–46 Li Shumin and Masahiro Yamamoto: *An inverse problem for Maxwell's equations in anisotropic media.*
- 2005–47 Li Shumin: *Estimation of coefficients in a hyperbolic equation with impulsive inputs.*

The Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences was established in the University of Tokyo in April, 1992. Formerly there were two departments of mathematics in the University of Tokyo: one in the Faculty of Science and the other in the College of Arts and Sciences. All faculty members of these two departments have moved to the new graduate school, as well as several members of the Department of Pure and Applied Sciences in the College of Arts and Sciences. In January, 1993, the preprint series of the former two departments of mathematics were unified as the Preprint Series of the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo. For the information about the preprint series, please write to the preprint series office.

ADDRESS:

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo
3–8–1 Komaba Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, JAPAN
TEL +81-3-5465-7001 FAX +81-3-5465-7012